

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office (States of MARCH STATE), EPOSPOTS OF THE VIEW RESIDENCE WAS ASSESSED AND ASSESSED ASSESSED ASSESSED ASSESSED ASSESSED ASSESSED ASSESSED ASSESSED.

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO	
09 427,699	10 27 1999	MING ZHAO	312762001800	3632	
25225	590 02 18 2003				
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP			EXAMINER		
3811 VALLEY SUITE 500	CENTRE DRIVE		CHEN, SHIN LIN		
SAN DIEGO.	CA 92130-2332		ARTUNII	PAPER NUMBER	
			1632 DATE MAILED: 02-18-2003	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/427,699

Zhao et al.

Examiner

Shin-Lin Chen

Art Unit 1632

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	s on the co	over she	eet witi	h the correspondence address
Period	for Reply				
	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	Т ТО ЕХР	IRE	3	MONTH(S) FROM
	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a).	In no event, ho	owever, m	ay a reply	be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
- If the	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within				
	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause				
- Any r	eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status	a parameter and adjustment.				
1) X	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 26</u> ,	2002			
2a) 🗶	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This ac	ction is no	n-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under ${\it Ex}\ p$				
•	ition of Claims				
4) X	Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7</u>				is/are pending in the application.
•	4a) Of the above, claim(s)				is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)	Claim(s)				is/are allowed.
6) X	Claim(s) 1-5 and 7				is/are rejected.
7)	Claim(s)				is/are objected to.
8)	Claims		are	subjec	t to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	ation Papers				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	ea) 🗀 a	ccepte	d or b	objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		is:	a)	approved b) disapproved by the Examiner
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Of	fice act	ion.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)		priority un	ider 35	U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) :	All b) Some* c) None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ive been r	eceived	i.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ve been r	eceived	d in Ap	plication No ,
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure				
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	he certifie	d copie	s not	received.
14) X	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	c priority i	un <b>de</b> r 3	35 U.S	.C. § 119(e).
a) .	The translation of the foreign language provision	nal applica	tion ha	s been	received.
15) X	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	c priority (	under 3	35 U.S	.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachm	ent(s)				
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Inte	rview Sum	nmary (P1	O-413) Paper No(s).
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			rmai Pate	nt Application (PTO-152)
3) Inf	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Oth	er:		

Art Unit: 1633

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicants' amendment filed 12-26-02 has been entered. Claims 1-5 and 7 are pending and under consideration.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (c) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- (f) he did not himself invent the subject matter sought to be patented.
- (g)(1) during the course of an interference conducted under section 135 or section 291, another inventor involved therein establishes, to the extent permitted in section 104, that before such person's invention thereof the invention was made by such other inventor and not abandoned, suppressed, or concealed, or (2) before such person's invention thereof, the invention was made in this country by another inventor who had not abandoned, suppressed, or concealed it. In determining priority of invention under this subsection, there shall be considered not only the respective dates of conception and reduction to practice of the invention, but also the reasonable diligence of one who was first to conceive and last to reduce to practice, from a time prior to conception by the other.

Claims 1-5 and 7 remain rejected under 102(f) or (g) as the issue of priority under 35 U.S.C. 102(g) and possibly 35 U.S.C. 102(f) of the present invention needs to be resolved and is repeated for the reasons set forth in the preceding Official action mailed 9-18-02 (Paper No. 26).

Applicants argue that new declaration adding Li as an inventor has been filed and granted, and Zhao and Saito do not contribute to claims 1-5 and 7 of the present application (amendment, page 2). This is not found persuasive because of the reasons of record. In order to

Application/Control Number: 09/427,699 Page 3

Art Unit: 1633

remove Zhao and Saito as inventors from the present application, applicants must comply with 37 CFR 1.48(a) to correct inventorship (see 37 CFR 1.48(a) (1) to (5)).

#### Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

2. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shin-Lin Chen whose telephone number is (703) 305-1678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9 am to 5:30 pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/427,699

Page 4

Art Unit: 1633

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Reynolds can be reached on (703) 305-4051. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Shin-Lin Chen, Ph.D.

GI Me.